


An indigent is not entitled to a transcript at government expense in order to “merely [] comb the record in the hope of discovering some flaw.” *United States v. Glass*, 317 F.2d 200, 202 (4th Cir. 1963). Here petitioner indicated that his motion was to see if any “possible errors” were committed during his Rule 11 hearing. [DE 143]. Petitioner’s motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is just a reiteration of the request for transcripts free of charge. [De 144]. Petitioner has not provided any justification to receive the requested documents at government expense. He has not made a showing of what he believes appears in the documents he requests and appears to merely seek to “comb the record” in search of “possible errors.” Accordingly, his motion is denied at this time. Petitioner is entitled to obtain the documents he seeks at his own expense, but not at the government’s.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, petitioner’s motions are DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

This the 2 day of June, 2014.


TERRENCE W. BOYLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE